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Note 2: Refugees in Ireland

This is the second of a series of three Notes concerning Refugees in Ireland, the EU and worldwide. This Note:

- provides an overview of the legal and policy framework governing the treatment of refugees in Ireland, including:
  - refugees who enter the State as asylum seekers,
  - refugees who enter the State under the Irish Refugee Protection Programme,
  - refugees who enter the State under the Afghan Admission Programme, and
  - refugees from Ukraine afforded temporary protection in Ireland; and
- discusses, by way of a case study, the refugee crisis triggered by the armed conflict in Syria and the actions undertaken by Ireland in response thereto.

The other Notes in this series:

- examine the protections afforded to refugees under international law and discuss the global context [Note 1]; and
- examine relevant legal and policy developments at the EU level concerning asylum seekers and migrants [Note 3].
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Table 1: Glossary and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999 Act</td>
<td>Immigration Act 1999, as amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002 Act</td>
<td>Ombudsman for Children Act 2002, as amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Act</td>
<td>International Protection Act 2015, as amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDA</td>
<td>Asylum Information Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCEDIY</td>
<td>Department of Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAC</td>
<td>Emergency Accommodation Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EROC</td>
<td>Emergency Reception and Orientation Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRHAP</td>
<td>Family Reunification Humanitarian Admission Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIQA</td>
<td>Health Information and Quality Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Internally Displaced Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>International Organization for Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAS</td>
<td>International Protection Accommodation Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAT</td>
<td>International Protection Appeals Tribunal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCP</td>
<td>International Protection Child Payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPO</td>
<td>International Protection Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRPP</td>
<td>Irish Refugee Protection Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENA</td>
<td>Middle East North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCHA</td>
<td>United Nations (UN) Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCO</td>
<td>Ombudsman for Children’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFEU</td>
<td>Treaty on the Functioning of the EU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCOI</td>
<td>Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Syrian Arab Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>UN Refugee Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

This Note is the second of a series of three Notes, which provide information concerning refugees in Ireland, the EU and worldwide. This Note discusses the legal and policy framework governing the treatment of refugees in Ireland, including:

- refugees who enter the State as asylum seekers,
- programme refugees who enter the State under the Irish Refugee Protection Programme,
- refugees who enter the State under the Afghan Admission Programme, and
- refugees from Ukraine afforded temporary protection in Ireland pursuant to the Temporary Protection Directive.

The Note also discusses, by way of a case study, the refugee crisis triggered by the armed conflict in Syria, and the actions undertaken by Ireland in response thereto. Syria was chosen because it represents “one of the world’s most complex humanitarian and protection emergencies”.¹ As of

---

mid-2023, Syria was the country of origin for the most refugees worldwide, 6.5 million.\(^2\) The first \textbf{Note} in this series discusses the protections afforded to refugees under international law and considers the global context. The \textbf{third Note} examines recent legislative and policy developments at the EU level concerning asylum seekers and migration.

\textbf{Table 2: Key Terms}

- A \textbf{refugee} is “someone who is unable or unwilling to return to their country of origin owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion” (UNHCR).

- An \textbf{asylum seeker} is a person seeking international protection, in the form of refugee status or subsidiary protection, in a State outside of their country of origin/habitual residence and whose application has yet to be determined by the authorities.

- The term “irregular migrant” does not have a universally accepted definition under international law. It “is generally used to identify persons moving outside regular migration channels. The fact that they migrate irregularly does not relieve States from the obligation to protect their rights” (International Organization for Migration).

- A non-EU national or a stateless person who does not qualify as a refugee in Ireland may nevertheless be granted a “subsidiary protection” declaration enabling them to remain in the State if substantial grounds are shown to exist for believing that if they were returned to their country of origin/former habitual residence, they would face a real risk of suffering serious harm and that they are unable or, owing to such risk, unwilling to avail of the protection of their country of origin/former habitual residence.

- Where an asylum seeker is not granted a refugee declaration or a subsidiary protection declaration, the Minister for Justice will then consider whether to afford the applicant “permission to remain” for a humanitarian or other compelling reason.

- Under the “family reunification” mechanism, individuals granted refugee status or subsidiary protection can apply to the Minister for Justice for permission for certain close family members to be allowed to join them in Ireland.

- Applicants for international protection who lack the means to rent privately are usually accommodated in \textbf{direct provision centres} operated by private contractors on a for-profit basis and, more recently, in \textbf{emergency accommodation centres}.

- A “\textbf{programme refugee}” is a refugee who is resettled in a third country pursuant to a refugee resettlement programme.

- The \textbf{non-refoulement} principle is the cornerstone of international refugee law and provides that refugees and asylum seekers may not be expelled or returned to countries or territories where their lives or freedom would be threatened on account of their race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion.

Refugees in Ireland: Legal and Policy Framework

Ireland’s obligations under International Law

As discussed in the first Note in this series, Ireland owes obligations to refugees under customary international law and as a State party to numerous international and regional treaties. Indeed, Ireland was one of the earlier States to accede to the 1951 Refugee Convention (29 November 1956) and the 1967 Protocol (6 November 1968).

The Common European Asylum System

As an EU Member State, Ireland also has obligations under EU law concerning the treatment of asylum seekers. Article 78 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) requires the European Parliament and the European Council to adopt measures for a Common European Asylum System. Since 1999, the EU has had a Common European Asylum System to provide for “common standards and co-operation to ensure that asylum seekers are treated equally in an open and fair system – wherever they apply” within the EU territory. The system’s legal framework is centred around a number of core legislative instruments, including the Reception Conditions Directive (recast) (see here and here), which seeks to ensure common standards for reception conditions for asylum seekers across the EU; and the Dublin III Regulation (see here and here), which is intended to enhance the protection of asylum seekers during the process of establishing the State responsible for examining an application for international protection.

In accordance with Protocol 21 to the TFEU, Ireland may decide whether or not to opt-in to a proposed EU legislative measure in the area of freedom, security and justice (which includes migration) within three months of the proposal being presented to the Council of the EU. Ireland may also indicate its desire to participate in the legislative measure at a later date after the measure has been adopted. In accordance with Article 29.4.7° of the 1937 Constitution of Ireland, the exercise of this opt-in power is subject to the approval of both Houses of the Oireachtas. Ireland has opted-in to a number of relevant EU legislative measures, including the Reception Conditions Directive and the Dublin III Regulation.

Refugees who enter the State as Asylum Seekers

The International Protection Act 2015 (the “2015 Act”) is the main domestic statute regulating the application process for individuals seeking international protection in Ireland either in the form of refugee status or subsidiary protection. Other relevant primary legislation includes the Immigration Act 1999, as amended; the Immigration Act 2003, as amended; the Immigration Act 2004, as amended; the Illegal Immigrants (Trafficking) Act 2000; and the European Convention on Human Rights Act 2003.

Section 2(1) of the 2015 Act provides a definition for a refugee, which is based on the definition included in Article 1 of the 1951 Refugee Convention.

---

For a list of relevant statutory instruments as of 31 December 2022, see: Asylum Information Database (AIDA), ‘Country Report Ireland: 2022’ (2023), available here, last accessed 27 November 2023.
A refugee “means a person, other than a person to whom section 10 applies, who, owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, political opinion or membership of a particular social group, is outside his or her country of nationality and is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself or herself of the protection of that country, or a stateless person, who, being outside of the country of former habitual residence for the same reasons as mentioned above, is unable or, owing to such fear, unwilling to return to it” [section 2 of the 2015 Act].

A non-EU national or a stateless person who does not qualify as a refugee may nevertheless be granted a “subsidiary protection” declaration enabling them to remain in Ireland. In order to be granted subsidiary protection, substantial grounds must be shown to exist for believing that if the person were returned to their country of origin/former habitual residence, they would face a real risk of suffering serious harm and that they are unable or, owing to such risk, unwilling to avail of the protection of their country of origin/former habitual residence. This includes a real risk of being subjected to the death penalty or execution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment, or a “serious and individual threat to a civilian’s life or person by reason of indiscriminate violence in situations of international or internal armed conflict”.

Section 10 and section 12 of the 2015 Act provide that certain persons shall automatically be excluded from being recognised as a refugee or eligible for subsidiary protection under the Act, including where there are serious reasons for considering that they:

- committed a war crime, a crime against humanity or a crime against peace;
- committed a serious non-political crime outside the State; or
- have been guilty of acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

The Application Process

An application for international protection may be lodged either at the airport or seaport of entry or directly at the International Protection Office (IPO). The IPO is an office within the Immigration Service Delivery (the Irish Immigration Service) with responsibility for examining and processing applications for international protection. Section 74 of the 2015 Act permits the Minister for Justice to authorise international protection officers within the IPO to perform certain functions under the Act and stipulates that they shall be independent in the performance of these functions.

Applications should be lodged as soon as possible as any unreasonable delay could prejudice the application (section 28 (7)(d) of the 2015 Act). An application may be made even where the applicant does not possess official documents, for example, a passport, as it can be impossible for those fleeing armed conflict or persecution to obtain such documents. Applicants must be at least 18 years of age and present in the State. Applications include dependent children.

Applicants must submit an initial application form and complete a preliminary interview with an international protection officer or an immigration official to establish basic information and

---


determine admissibility. Applicants should be issued a temporary residence permit within three working days of making their application (section 17) and referred to International Protection Accommodation Services if they have no other means of accommodating themselves. Applicants should also be advised of their right to obtain free legal assistance from the Legal Aid Board subject to the satisfaction of eligibility requirements.

Transfer Decisions

During their preliminary interview, applicants are fingerprinted and photographed, and their details are checked against the Interpol, Europol and Eurodac databases. The latter is an international database, which helps to determine whether applicants have already applied for asylum elsewhere in the EU. If an applicant’s details show up on Eurodac, they may be called for a personal interview with an international protection officer to determine whether their application should be transferred to another responsible EU Member State.

In accordance with the Dublin III Regulation, Ireland may request another EU Member State that has agreed to the Regulation (a “Dublin State”) to “take charge of” or “take back” an application in certain circumstances. Where the requested EU Member State agrees, the international protection officer may issue a transfer decision under the European Union (Dublin System) Regulations 2018.

The applicant and their legal representatives, if known, must be notified of the transfer decision and of their entitlement to submit an appeal to the International Protection Appeals Tribunal (IPAT) within ten days of receiving the decision (Regulations 5 and 6). IPAT may convene an oral hearing upon receipt of a request from the applicant or in the interests of justice (Regulation 6).

In a written answer to a parliamentary question on 31 January 2023, Minister for Justice, Simon Harris T.D., stated:

“I can confirm that there were 24 outgoing transfer decisions made in 2022 under the Dublin Regulation and a total of 3 people were returned in 2022 on foot of a Dublin Convention transfer order. While the numbers of transfers effected appear low, it should be noted that Dublin III transfers can be challenging to enforce due to a number of factors including potential legal challenges and transfer arrangements which need to be made with the returning country.

In addition a person can make submissions to the Minister requesting for their case to be dealt with in Ireland which can further impact on the timeframes and numbers transferred.”

---

8 Currently, the applicant’s income, minus certain allowances, must be less than €18,000 per annum. See: ibid., p. 45.
9 An exception to the fingerprinting requirement arises in respect of unaccompanied applicants believed to be under the age of 14 years old. See ibid., p. 50.
11 The Dublin States are the EU Member States, Iceland, Switzerland, Norway and Liechtenstein. The Dublin III Regulation is discussed in more detail in the third Note in this series.
Inadmissible Applications and Return Orders

Under section 21 of the 2015 Act, an application will be deemed inadmissible where:

- another EU Member State has already granted the applicant refugee status or subsidiary protection; OR
- the applicant has been recognised as a refugee by a non-EU Member State, being a “first country of asylum”, and can still avail of that protection or otherwise enjoys sufficient protection in that country, including against refoulement.

Section 21 was amended by section 119 of the Withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union (Consequential Provisions) Act 2020 (the “2020 Act”). It now also provides that an application may also be deemed inadmissible where the applicant has arrived from a country that is a “safe third country” for the applicant, namely, where an applicant:

- has a sufficient connection with the country so that it is reasonable for them to return there;¹²
- will be re-admitted to the country; and
- will not be subjected to the death penalty, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment upon return to the country.

Section 122 of the 2020 Act inserted a new section 72A into the 2015 Act, permitting the Minister for Justice to designate a State as a safe third country where the State concerned meets certain conditions relating to the treatment of applicants for international protection. The UK was designated a safe third country for the purposes of section 21 of the 2015 Act in 2020.¹³ Where an international protection officer recommends to the Minister that an application be deemed inadmissible, the applicant and their legal representatives, where known, are notified in writing. The notification includes the reasons for the recommendation and information regarding the applicant’s right to submit an appeal (without an oral hearing) to IPAT within ten days of receiving the decision.¹⁴ According to the Asylum Information Database, 18 applications were deemed inadmissible in 2020, as compared with two in 2021.¹⁵

Section 51A of the 2015 Act requires the Minister for Justice to issue a Return Order where an application is found to be inadmissible by an international protection officer (as upheld by IPAT upon appeal, where applicable). The applicant and their legal representative, where known, are notified. For the purpose of facilitating the return, an immigration officer or a member of An Garda Síochána may require the unsuccessful applicant to comply with certain conditions (Section 51B). For example, they may be required to surrender their passport and remain in a particular place pending the return. An unsuccessful applicant can also be arrested without warrant and detained for up to seven days where there is considered to be a significant risk of them absconding. The

¹² The factors that may be taken into account when determining if an applicant has a sufficient connection with the third country concerned are outlined in section 21 (18) and include, for example, the period of time the applicant spent in the country, either lawfully or unlawfully.
Minister’s power to issue return orders is subject to the *non-refoulement* rule, as explicitly provided for in *section 50A* of the 2015 Act and discussed in more detail later in this Note.16

**Admissible Applications**

Where an application is deemed admissible, the applicant must complete a comprehensive questionnaire. The IPO’s information booklet for applicants stresses that it is very important that applicants “seek legal advice as required”.17 However, according to the Irish Refugee Council, some applicants who registered with the Legal Aid Board were advised that the Legal Aid Board was only able to review completed applications due to capacity constraints.18

After submitting the questionnaire, the applicant must undergo a substantive interview with an international protection officer. The applicant may be accompanied by their legal representative and interpretation services must be provided, if necessary. The international protection officer then prepares a report for the Minister for Justice recommending that the applicant:

(i) should be given a refugee declaration;
(ii) should not be given a refugee declaration and should be given a subsidiary protection declaration; or
(iii) should be given neither a refugee declaration nor a subsidiary protection declaration.19

Each application is assessed individually taking into account subjective factors related to the applicant’s own account or personal history, objective factors related to the applicant’s country or place of origin, and any supporting documentation received from the applicant.20

**Permission to Remain**

In accordance with *section 49 of the 2015 Act*, where an international protection officer recommends that an applicant should not be granted either refugee status or subsidiary protection, the Minister for Justice must then decide whether or not to grant the applicant “permission to remain” in Ireland for a humanitarian or other compelling reason, for example, family circumstances. In making this decision, the Minister must consider the applicant’s right to respect for their private and family life, the nature of their connection with the State, humanitarian considerations, the applicant’s character and conduct outside the State, considerations of national security and public order, and other considerations regarding the common good.

**Notification of Outcome**

Applicants and their legal representatives, if known, are informed in a single communication regarding the outcome of their application for international protection and, where relevant, of the Minister for Justice’s decision concerning whether or not to grant them permission to remain. Where an applicant is refused refugee status or both refugee status and subsidiary protection,

---

16 The “non-refoulement” rule is more comprehensively discussed in Note 1 of this series of L&RS Notes.
19 *Section 39 of the 2015 Act*.
they are provided with a statement of the reasons for the decision, the IPO report and information on how to submit an appeal to IPAT. An applicant who is also refused permission to remain will be provided with a statement outlining the reasons for the decision.

The Right of Appeal

Applicants have a right of appeal to the International Protection Appeals Tribunal (IPAT) under Part 6 of the 2015 Act against a recommendation by an international protection officer not to grant them refugee status or not to grant them refugee status and subsidiary protection. IPAT is comprised of a Chairperson, two Deputy Chairpersons and such ordinary members as the Minister for Justice, subject to the consent of the Minister for Public Expenditure and Reform, considers necessary to perform IPAT’s functions. IPAT performs quasi-judicial functions and its members must have at least five years’ experience as a practicing barrister or solicitor.

Appeals must generally be submitted within 15 working days of receiving a negative decision unless the application is refused on the basis of one of the reasons outlined in section 39 (4) of the 2015 Act, in which case the time limit is reduced to 10 working days from the date of the decision. IPAT may convene an oral hearing where it is considered to be in the interests of justice or upon the request of the applicant, having regard to the interests of justice (section 42).

IPAT may decide to affirm an international protection officer’s recommendation or set it aside, in whole or in part (section 46 of the 2015 Act). For example, IPAT may decide to affirm an international protection officer’s recommendation that the applicant should not be given a refugee declaration, set aside the part of the recommendation that recommends that the applicant should not be given a subsidiary protection declaration and recommend that the applicant be given a subsidiary protection declaration.

A decision by the Minister for Justice not to grant permission to remain may not be appealed to IPAT. If the appeal to IPAT is unsuccessful and there has been a material change in the applicant’s circumstances in the period between the Minister’s initial refusal to grant permission to remain and IPAT’s decision, the applicant must submit additional relevant information to the Minister within five days of IPAT’s decision. Having reviewed this information, the Minister may then decide to afford the applicant permission to remain for a specific period.

Granting of Refugee Declaration/Subsidiary Protection Declaration

Section 47 of the 2015 Act, subject to subsection (3), requires the Minister for Justice to grant, or refuse to grant, a refugee declaration or a subsidiary protection declaration pursuant to a recommendation of an international protection officer (which is not the subject of an appeal) or a recommendation of IPAT. Subsection (3) permits the Minister to refuse to grant a refugee declaration where:

- there are reasonable grounds for regarding the applicant as a danger to State security; or
- where the applicant is considered a danger to the community because they have been convicted of a particularly serious crime.

---

21 For example, the applicant’s representations were inconsistent or contradictory.
Voluntary Returns

Section 48 of the 2015 Act concerns voluntary returns. Where an applicant is refused a refugee declaration, a subsidiary protection declaration or permission to remain, they may elect to voluntarily return to their country of origin without the need for a formal deportation order. The Minister for Justice may not issue a deportation order to a person who, acting in accordance with section 48, confirms their intention to voluntarily return to their country of origin for so long as that person is making reasonable efforts to leave the State. An exception arises where the person is considered to pose: (i) a danger to State security or; (ii) a danger to the community because they have been convicted of a serious offence.

A person who voluntarily returns to their country of origin may return to Ireland at a future date if they establish a legal basis for doing so, unlike a person who is the subject of a deportation order. The Department of Justice provides administrative and other supports to persons wishing to return voluntarily, upon request. The International Organization for Migration Ireland also provides assistance to individuals who wish to return voluntarily but do not have the means to do so, including assistance with obtaining the necessary travel documentation and financial assistance to cover travel costs.

Deportation Orders

Where an individual is denied a refugee declaration, a subsidiary protection declaration or permission to remain, and they fail to voluntarily return to their country of origin, the Minister for Justice is required under section 51 of the 2015 Act to issue a deportation order requiring the individual to leave the State within a specified period and thereafter remain outside of the State. Section 51 (4) provides that a deportation order issued under section 51 shall be deemed to be a deportation order made under section 3 (1) of the Immigration Act 1999, as amended, and, accordingly, the 1999 Act shall apply to the order (save as where otherwise stated in section 51).

Section 3 (11) of the 1999 Act permits the Minister, by order, to amend or revoke a deportation order. An applicant for international protection may submit an application under section 3 (11) including representations and supporting documentation setting out any new or changed circumstances that were not previously presented to the Minister.

Non-refoulement

The “non-refoulement” principle under international refugee law provides that refugees and asylum seekers may not be expelled or returned to countries or territories where their lives or freedom would be threatened on account of their race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion.

The Minister for Justice’s power to issue deportation orders and return orders is subject to the non-refoulement principle. Accordingly, section 50 and section 50A of the 2015 Act expressly provide that an individual may not be expelled or otherwise returned to a country:

- where their life or freedom would be threatened for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion; or

---

• where there is a serious risk that they would be subjected to the death penalty, torture or other inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

In such circumstances, where the individual concerned would otherwise be the subject of a deportation order, they must be afforded permission to remain in the State (section 50 (4)). Where the individual concerned would otherwise be the subject of a return order, their application should be treated as an admissible application (section 50A (4)).

Judicial Review

An unsuccessful applicant for international protection may seek leave (permission) from the High Court to have an aspect of the administrative decision-making process judicially reviewed by the High Court. This might include, for example, a judicial review of a recommendation by an international protection officer or a decision by IPAT that an applicant should not be granted a refugee declaration or a subsidiary protection declaration, a decision by the Minister for Justice not to grant permission to remain, or a decision by the Minister to issue a deportation order or a return order. A refusal by the Minister to grant a beneficiary of international protection permission for certain close family members to join them in Ireland under the family reunification mechanism (discussed below) may also be subjected to judicial review.

An applicant will be expected to have exhausted all available remedies before seeking leave to make a judicial review application. Accordingly, most judicial reviews occur after IPAT has issued a decision. A judicial review is different to an appeal based on the facts. It involves an investigation of whether the decision-making processes used by administrative bodies were in accordance with law. Special rules apply in respect of judicial reviews of decision-making processes in the context of international protection applications. The High Court can affirm the decision in question or set it aside and return it to the original decision-making body for a further determination. Judicial review is a lengthy and costly process.

Prioritisation of Applications

Section 73 of the 2015 Act allows for the prioritisation of certain categories of applications, subject to the consent of the Minister for Justice and having regard to the need for fairness and efficiency in dealing with all applications. Prioritisation only relates to the scheduling of interviews and will not pre-determine any decision made. Section 73 also permits the Minister, having consulted with the Chairperson of IPAT, to request the Chair to accord priority to any appeal.

The IPO has published a statement on its website, based on advice received from the UN Refugee Agency (UNHCR), which indicates that certain categories of applications may be prioritised based on the following criteria:

- the age of applicants, this category includes
  - unaccompanied minors in the care of Tusla (the Child and Family Agency),
  - applicants who applied as unaccompanied minors, but who have aged out, and
  - applicants over 70 years of age, who are not part of a family group;

---

25 See, for example, Practice Direction 81 and General Notice (20 February 2020) available on the website of the Courts Service, see here, last accessed 6 December 2023.
27 Ibid., p. 45.
• the likelihood that applications are well-founded, either based on the contents of a Medico-Legal report or the use of the IPO’s discretion on a case by case basis;
• the likelihood that applications are well-founded based on the country of origin or habitual residence of the applicants. The list of countries currently includes Syria, Eritrea, Afghanistan, Libya, Somalia, Sudan and Yemen; and
• health grounds where evidence has been submitted, and certified by a medical consultant, that the applicant has an ongoing, severe/life threatening medical condition.\(^{28}\)

The general rule that applications from family members are processed together applies in respect of both prioritised and non-prioritised applications.\(^{29}\)

**Table 3: Application Process for International Protection\(^{30}\)**

---


\(^{29}\) Ibid.

\(^{30}\) The Table is available on the IPO website; see [here](#), last accessed 6 December 2023.
Family reunification and unaccompanied minors

The 2015 Act includes family reunification provisions, which allow beneficiaries of international protection (individuals granted refugee status or subsidiary protection) to apply to the Minister for Justice for permission for certain close family members to be allowed to join them in Ireland, subject to certain criteria being satisfied. However, the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission observed in June 2023 that these family reunification provisions are not as generous as those enjoyed by Ukrainian refugees afforded temporary protection in the State under the Temporary Protection Directive. It has called for a reform of the existing legal framework so as to ensure that the more generous provisions afforded to Ukrainian refugees are also extended to beneficiaries of international protection and programme refugees.

A minor (an individual who appears to be under 18 years of age) who arrives in the State unaccompanied by a responsible adult, will be referred to TUSLA. Taking into account the child’s best interests and on the basis of all the available information, including legal advice, TUSLA may decide to apply for international protection on behalf of the child. TUSLA may also consider whether family reunification or family tracing is an option.31

Revocation of Refugee Status or Subsidiary Protection

Section 52 of the 2015 Act outlines the circumstances in which the Minister for Justice can revoke a refugee declaration or a subsidiary protection declaration, including where a person:

- ceases to satisfy the eligibility criteria;
- misrepresented or omitted certain facts that were central to the decision to grant the status in question; or
- should have been excluded from eligibility under section 10 or section 12, for example, where there are serious reasons for considering that they constitute a danger to the community or to the security of the State.

Where the Minister proposes to revoke a refugee declaration or a subsidiary protection declaration, they must notify the person concerned and their legal representative, if known. The notification should outline the reasons for the proposal and the person’s right to make written submissions to the Minister regarding the proposal within 15 working days of the sending of the notice. The Minister must take any such representations received into account before making a final decision. Where the Minister decides to revoke a declaration under section 52, they must notify the individual concerned of the decision, the reasons for the decision and the individual’s right to submit an appeal to the Circuit Court within 10 working days of the date of the notice. The Circuit Court may affirm the Minister’s decision or direct the Minister not to revoke the declaration.

Volume of applications, processing times and acceptance rates

According to the Irish Refugee Council, Ireland received 0.4 per cent of the EU’s applications for international protection in 2021. The number of applications received in EU Member States increased significantly in 2022. According to an RTÉ article published on 9 June 2023, almost

one million asylum requests were made across the EU in 2022, a record high since 2016.32 The article notes that whilst “historically Ireland's level of asylum applications were low compared with other European countries, this changed last year”.33 The Department of Justice has advised that:

“According to Eurostat, to end September 2022 the proportion of international protection applications in Ireland as a percentage of the total population was 0.18%. … For comparison the percentages for some other EU States were: 0.63% for Austria, 0.23% for Belgium, 0.21% for Bulgaria, 1.57% for Cyprus and 0.28% for Luxembourg.”34

13,651 people submitted applications for international protection in Ireland in 2022, the highest number on record35 and a 186 per cent increase on 2019, the last year in which applications were not impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic.36 Research published by the Economic and Social Research Institute in November 2022 found that there is no one reason for this increase; rather it may be attributable to a combination of the following factors:

- catch-up migration following the lifting of travel restrictions imposed to combat the spread of the Covid-19 virus;
- the knock-on effects of the Russian invasion of Ukraine in February 2022;
- deteriorating conditions in countries of origin, for example, Somalia and Afghanistan;
- the movement of refugees from other EU Member States to Ireland, for example, for the purpose of reuniting with family members;
- the knock-on effect of a significant increase in applications for international protection in the UK; and/or
- conditions in Ireland, including current labour market shortages and a long-term social network effect following increasing immigration to Ireland during the past 30 years.37

According to the Asylum Information Database, the IPO issued 4,402 decisions in 2022, including 1,452 decisions granting international protection to applicants and 2,081 decisions granting permission to remain on a humanitarian ground.38

Prior to the introduction of the single application procedure under the 2015 Act, an applicant could only apply for subsidiary protection once their application for refugee status had been refused. This gave rise to lengthy delays with the overall process taking over five years for some applicants at a considerable cost to their wellbeing.39
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32 Laura Fletcher, ‘EU’s ‘historic’ asylum seeker hosting deal explained’ (RTÉ, 9 June 2023) available here, last accessed 6 December 2023.
33 Ibid.
37 ESRI, ‘Key drivers behind increase in international protection applications identified in new ESRI research’ (24 November 2022), available here, last accessed 6 December 2023.
In a written answer to a parliamentary question on 22 November 2023, Minister for Justice, Helen McEntee T.D., reported that recently introduced “reforms are having a significant impact with the median processing time for first-instance decisions reducing from 18 months in 2022 down to 15 months in 2023. The median processing times for appeals is also down from 15 months at the beginning of 2022 to five months in 2023”. An Irish Independent article published on 4 July 2023 reported that the IPO was processing 750 decisions per month, as compared with 200 decisions per month in 2021. More information on processing times is available here.

The IPO publishes statistics on the number of applications received for international protection each month. The table below demonstrates that 10,386 applications have been received for the year-to-date as of 31 October 2023. In comparison, 11,140 applications were received during the period from 1 January to 31 October 2022. This represents a 6.8 per cent year-on-year decrease in the number of applications received.

Table 4: Applications for International Protection from 1 January to 31 October 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nationality</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>% of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5054</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>10386</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised international protection procedure

In November 2022, a new procedure was introduced by the IPO pursuant to the European Communities (International Protection Procedures) Regulations 2022 whose main purpose is to accelerate the application process, in particular, for applicants from designated “safe countries of

41 Ibid.
42 The Table is included in ibid.
origin", with a view to reducing overall processing delays. Prior to the introduction of the new procedure, over 25 per cent of applications were made by asylum seekers from so-called designated safe countries of origin, including Bosnia and Herzegovina, North Macedonia, Georgia, Kosovo, Montenegro, Albania, Serbia and South Africa. According to an Irish Independent article published on 4 July 2023, the new procedure resulted in a reduction in the number of applications made by individuals from designated safe countries of origin from 210 in November 2022 to 64 in May 2023.

Under the new procedure, individuals attending the IPO office to make an application for international protection must complete their questionnaire onsite. The questionnaire has been considerably shortened under the revised procedure. According to the IPO website, applicants from designated safe countries of origin should have their substantive interview within “a matter of weeks” of submitting their application. Where an international protection officer recommends that an application be refused based on one of the reasons contained in section 39(4) of the 2015 Act, the timeframe for submitting an appeal is reduced to ten working days from the date of the recommendation and any appeal will be decided without an oral hearing save where IPAT considers that an oral hearing is necessary in the interests of justice.

The Irish Refugee Council has expressed concerns regarding the new procedure asserting that the requirement to complete personal questionnaires in the busy, open-plan waiting areas of IPO offices could risk retraumatising vulnerable applicants and also raises issues concerning privacy and the protection of their personal data. It notes that, unless an applicant is accompanied to the IPO by a lawyer when making their application, they will be unable to obtain legal advice before submitting their questionnaire. It has also asserted that “Cultural Support Officers have not been present to assist applicants in the completion of their questionnaires, while the standard of translation services provided has been unsatisfactory”.

International Protection Modernisation Programme 2023-2024

On 5 July 2023, Minister for Justice, Helen McEntee, T.D., published the International Protection Modernisation Programme 2023-2024. It introduces the following three targets with a view to expediting the processing of applications for international protection:

- processing prioritised cases in under three months by Quarter 3, 2023;
- establishing an enhanced quality control process by Quarter 4, 2023; and
- finalising 1,000 cases per month by Quarter 1, 2024.

The legal rights of asylum seekers in Ireland

International protection applicants have the right to remain in the State until their application is determined and to obtain legal assistance where their income falls below a certain threshold

---
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(currently €18,000 per annum minus certain allowances). Applicants who have no means of accommodating themselves are referred to International Protection Accommodation Services (IPAS), a division of the Department of Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth (DCEDIY). In accordance with the EU recast-Reception Conditions Directive, applicants for international protection must be afforded access to material reception conditions, including accommodation, food, clothing, health care and education for minors. They must also be allowed to work within 9 months of making their application. In Ireland, the reception services are provided by multiple Government departments, including:

- DCEDIY, which is responsible for ensuring the provision of:
  o accommodation, meals and utilities to applicants residing in direct provision centres operated by private contractors on a for-profit basis and, more recently, in emergency accommodation centres; and
  o access for eligible children to the free pre-school scheme, the Early Childhood Care and Education programme;
- the Department of Social Protection, which pays a daily expenses allowance to residents of accommodation provided by IPAS (and those on waiting lists for such accommodation) and covers any exceptional needs;
- the Department of Education, which provides school places for children resident in direct provision centres; and
- the Health Service Executive, which provides mainstreamed health services to residents.  

Some of the rights afforded to asylum seekers in Ireland were granted following legal challenges. In N.V.H. v. Minister for Justice and Equality, the Supreme Court found that a legislative ban on asylum seekers taking up employment whilst their application was being processed, coupled with the absence of a temporal limit on the asylum process, was incompatible with the right to work as guaranteed under Article 40.1 of the 1937 Constitution of Ireland. Following the decision, asylum seekers were afforded the right to apply for permission to access the labour market six months after making their application for international protection if their application was still pending. Approximately 8,000 asylum seekers have been given permission to work since 2018.

In 2021, the High Court ruled that asylum seekers are entitled to apply for driver licences. The Road Safety Authority had refused two asylum seekers permission to exchange their full driver licences, issued by their country of origin, for Irish driving licences on the grounds that they had not produced evidence that they were “normally resident” in the State. Mr Justice Mark Heslin stated in the High Court:

“I feel obliged to reject the proposition that someone who, in fact, resides in this State month after month and with permission so to do and who complies with all conditions of that permission should not be considered, for the purposes of exchanging their driving licence, lawfully resident in the context of the legislative scheme at issue in the present proceedings.”

---

52 Irish Refugee Council, FAQs, see here, last accessed 22 November 2023.
However, it should be noted that asylum seekers are not entitled to social welfare as they are not considered to satisfy the habitual residence criterion for social welfare, namely, the requirement for an established connection to Ireland.\textsuperscript{54}

Since April 2021, following formal engagement between the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission and high street banks, asylum seekers may open a bank account using State-issued papers for asylum seekers.\textsuperscript{55}

**Daily Expenses Allowance and calls for a Child Payment**

Since 2018, the daily expenses allowance for asylum seekers has been €38.80 per week per adult and €29.80 per week per child.\textsuperscript{56} In October 2023, the Irish Refugee Council published the findings of new independent research, involving a multilingual survey that elicited 192 responses from parents living in direct provision centres as well as nine focus groups with mothers living in direct provision centres. 88 per cent of the parents surveyed indicated that the daily expenses allowance was insufficient to cover basic needs such as food and healthcare,\textsuperscript{57} and over 80 per cent indicated that the allowance was insufficient to cover many of their children’s basic needs.\textsuperscript{58}

The Irish Refugee Council has called for the daily expenses allowance to be increased in line with inflation.\textsuperscript{59} The Children’s Rights Alliance has also called for an increase arguing that the allowance for children “falls short of a minimum essential standard of living”.

On 7 November 2023, in a written answer to a parliamentary question, Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., stated that:

“the introduction of an International Protection Child Payment (IPCP) is a key commitment in the White Paper to End Direct Provision. …

…It is proposed the IPCP will be a payment of €140 per month, or €32.11 per week, to be paid in respect of each International Protection Applicant child up to the age of 18, who are residing in IPAS accommodation and are awaiting a decision. This payment would be in addition to the child Daily Expenses Allowance of €29.80 a week.

As part of the Budget process, I secured €4.7m to provide for the IPCP in 2024. A business case for sanction on the establishment of the IPCP has now been prepared and submitted to the Department of Public Expenditure, National Development Plan Delivery and Reform.”

As of the date of writing, the IPCP has yet to be introduced. On 5 December 2023, Minister O’Gorman advised that the Government had agreed to increase the weekly

\textsuperscript{56} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{58} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{59} Irish Refugee Council, ‘New research sheds light on income inadequacy faced by children and families living in international protection accommodation’ (5 October 2023) available here, last accessed 21 November 2023.
payment for asylum seekers who are not offered accommodation by IPAS by €75 per week for as long as those asylum seekers were not accommodated by IPAS.

**Criticism of the Direct Provision System**

Numerous Government-commissioned and independent reports have criticised the direct provision system, which was initially established in 1999 as a temporary measure to accommodate people seeking international protection.

The 2015 Report of the ‘Working Group to Report to Government on Improvements to the Protection Process including Direct Provision and Supports to Asylum Seekers’ (the “McMahon Report”) recommended that the Government establish a standard-setting committee to reflect Government policy across all areas of service in direct provision and an independent inspectorate to conduct inspections of direct provision centres against the newly approved standards. Pursuant to this recommendation, a Standards Advisory Group consisting of representatives of Government bodies, NGOs and asylum seekers, was established in 2017. The Group drafted National Standards for accommodation offered to people in the protection process, which were published in 2019 and have been applicable since 2021.

The National Standards, address issues relating to the following themes: accommodation; food, catering and cooking facilities; individual, community and family life; health, wellbeing and development; social needs; safeguarding and protection; governance, accountability and leadership; contingency planning and emergency preparedness; and responsive workforce. They meet the minimum standards set out in:

- the European Asylum Support Office Guidance on Reception Conditions: Operational Standards and Indicators;
- the recast-EU Reception Conditions Directive; and

The 2019 Report of the Joint Committee on Justice and Equality on Direct Provision and the International Protection Application Process (the "2019 Joint Committee Report") identified significant issues with the direct provision system, including:

- accommodation that is not fit-for-purpose;
- inadequate supports and services that do not cater to the needs of vulnerable individuals arriving in Ireland;
- long delays in the single application process;
- issues with accessing the labour market; and
- issues relating to children in the direct provision system.

It concluded that the system “needs root and branch reform, preferably replacement”.  
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61 For an overview of the National Standards, see: the 2019 Joint Committee Report, pp. 17-21, available here, last accessed 22 November 2023.
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In October 2020, the Report of the Advisory Group on the Provision of Support including Accommodation to Persons in the International Protection Process was published. It “concluded that a system which places applicants for long periods in segregated, congregated accommodation with little privacy or scope for normal family life is not fit for purpose”.

In November 2021, a Private Members’ Bill was introduced before Dáil Éireann by Deputy Eoin Ó’Broin (Sinn Féin), which sought to enable the Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) to inspect reception centres and accommodation centres for asylum seekers. It is currently before Dáil Éireann (second stage).

The 2023 Special Report of the Ombudsman for Children

In April 2021, the Office of the Ombudsman for Children (OCO) published the results of its investigation into the management of direct provision centres, emergency reception and orientation centres (EROCs), and emergency accommodation centres (EACs), including commercial hotels. It identified systemic failures in the discharge of IPAS and Tusla’s administrative functions with regard to direct provision centres, EROCs and EACs, which were having an adverse effect on child residents. It made 12 recommendations, which were aimed at addressing 14 core findings.

On 18 October 2023, the OCO published the first ever Special Report of the Ombudsman for Children pursuant to section 13 (7) of the Ombudsman for Children Act 2002 (the “2002 Act”).

The Special Report found that “an overreliance on the private commercial sector accommodation has led to system failures on practical, economic, and legal grounds. Sadly, children are at the sharp end of these failures” [OCO Special Report (2023) p. 6].

The Special Report highlighted three specific recommendations from the OCO’s 2021 investigation, where progress had stalled or regressed. First, it indicated that, based on the available information, the Ombudsman could not be satisfied that IPAS would implement its recommendation to cease the use of commercial hotels and develop a contingency plan to respond to capacity pressures in the short to medium term.

Second, the Special Report indicated that the Ombudsman could not be satisfied that a robust and adequately resourced quality assurance mechanism was in place, or would be put in place, for the majority of children seeking international protection, which would be capable of monitoring complaints and child protection and welfare concerns. It noted that whilst the Government had confirmed that HIQA would be performing independent monitoring of designated accommodation centres, the regulations to govern this arrangement had yet to be put in place, would only be in effect for four years, and would exclude EROCs and EACs as they were subject to separate

---


66 Section 13 (5) of the 2002 Act permits the Ombudsman to cause a special report to be laid before each House of the Oireachtas where inadequate measures are undertaken to implement the Ombudsman’s recommendations following an investigation under section 13 (3).

67 OCO Special Report 2023, pp. 3-4.
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contractual arrangements. Regarding the latter point, the OCO observed that, according to DCEDIY, 2,441 children seeking international protection were residing in EACs, and 16,804 Ukrainian children who were beneficiaries of temporary protection were also living in hotels.

Third, the Special Report (2023: p.5) stated that:

“[i]n circumstances where only 10% of children seeking [international protection] had received a statutory vulnerability assessment, with 44% of those assessed as requiring a social work referral, the Ombudsman cannot be satisfied that IPAS has sufficient regard to the vulnerability of children within the international protection process in the planning and provision of their accommodation needs”.

In April 2021, IPAS undertook to put in place a procedure to identify children with special reception needs and perform a vulnerability assessment within 30 days of the lodging of an application for international protection on their behalf. This procedure is required to implement Regulation 7 of the European Communities (Reception Conditions Regulations) 2018 (S.I. No. 230/2018), which gives effect to the EU recast-Reception Conditions Directive.

During a Dáil debate on 30 November 2023, Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., provided updates on the three recommendations discussed in the OCO’s 2023 Special Report. Regarding the first recommendation, he stated:

“Due to the significant increase in people requiring accommodation, there has to be a requirement for a blended approach of accommodation options, including commercial and private providers. This … is an absolute necessity if we are to provide accommodation for those arriving in Ireland.”

Regarding the second recommendation, Minister O’Gorman confirmed that HIQA’s inspection role would only apply to “permanent” centres contracted by IPAS and stated:

“In advance of the introduction of independent monitoring, IPAS has taken steps to support adherence to the national standards through its contract arrangements with accommodation centres. All properties selected under IPAS’s most recent tender process will be required to implement the national standards.

The request for tenders for the inspection of accommodation provided by this Department was published on eTenders in October, with a closing date of 31 October. Submissions are currently being evaluated and it is hoped that an inspection service will be in place in quarter 1 of 2024. To date, HIQA has completed pilot inspections of the three State-owned IPAS centres.”

Regarding the third recommendation, Minister O’Gorman stated:

“Since 1 February 2021, the IPAS resident welfare team has made a determination regarding vulnerability in the case of more than 4,000 persons. More than 2,600 persons were deemed vulnerable, of whom over 800 were minors…
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...Ongoing demands on the service due to significantly increased numbers have resulted in wait times for assessments being affected. Given the ongoing challenges faced by IPAS with sourcing accommodation, IPAS works with residents through the vulnerability assessment programme and clinics to signpost people to relevant information and services that may be helpful to them.”

Developments in the past 12 months

Table 5: IPAS Accommodation Overview as of 26 November 2023

Current IPAS Accommodation Overview

Total Centres 245 Total IPAs 26,092. Of whom Children 5,581

As of 26 November 2023, 26,092 applicants for international protection, including 5,581 children, were being accommodated in 245 centres, including 191 EACs, three tented accommodation centres, one national reception centre, and the Citywest transit hub. During the past 12 months, various actors, including the Children’s Rights Alliance and the Irish Refugee Council have expressed concern regarding deteriorating standards in direct provision centres and emergency accommodation facilities.

On 24 January 2023, IPAS announced that due to the combined effects of the arrival of refugees from Ukraine, the increase in applications for international protection in 2022 and the housing crisis, adult applicants for international protection could no longer be accommodated.

In April 2023, Mr Justice Meenan ruled in the High Court that the failure by the Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth to provide applicants for international
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73 This Table is taken from: DCEDIY, ‘IPAS Weekly Accommodation and Arrival Statistics’ (26 November 2023) available here, last accessed 5 December 2023.
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protection with material reception conditions, including accommodation, food and hygiene facilities, violates their right to human dignity under Article 1 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. The case concerned an applicant from Afghanistan who was given a supermarket voucher worth €28 and informed that accommodation would only be provided once space was available. As a result, the applicant was homeless for three weeks without State support.

“….even if accommodation facilities are overloaded alternative steps should be taken by the Minister which include giving ‘financial allowances’ or referring persons, such as the applicant, to ‘bodies within the general public assistance system’ who will provide what the Minister does not.” [Mr Justice Meenan, High Court (2023), see here].

An RTÉ news report published on 18 May 2023 indicated that 501 recently arrived asylum seekers were not provided with accommodation by the State, leaving many homeless. This situation attracted criticism from UNHCR, which noted that “providing for the most basic needs of asylum seekers, in particular basic shelter and safety... is a clear legal obligation both under national and European law”.

On 5 December 2023, the Government advised that it was unable to provide accommodation to all asylum seekers arriving in the State due to a severe shortage of beds. It indicated that it would continue to prioritise housing families. It advised that any asylum seekers who were not afforded accommodation would be provided with tents, sleeping bags and drop-in day services, including hot showers, meals and laundry services, as well as an additional €75 per week on top of the daily expenses allowance. UNHCR Ireland stated that the news was “extremely concerning”. Several human rights organisations, including the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission, Amnesty International and the Irish Refugee Council, expressed concerns regarding the human rights implications of the announcement for international protection applicants.

White Paper to end Direct Provision

The Programme for Government, Our Shared Future, includes a commitment to replace the direct provision system with a new system based on a not-for-profit approach. A White Paper to end direct provision and establish a new International Protection Support Service was published by the Government in February 2021. In the Foreword, Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., states:

“Direct Provision was established in 1999 as a temporary response to accommodating people seeking International Protection. In the decades since, it has proven expensive, inefficient, and ill-equipped to respond to shifting trends in international migration. More worryingly, it failed to respect the dignity and human rights of individuals, something which has rightly been subject to criticism from human rights organisations, activists, and those who are in it and have passed through it.”

The proposed new model of accommodation for international protection applicants is based on a human rights-based approach and is meant to encourage integration, whilst supporting applicants to live independently. It was intended that the new model would be implemented on a phased basis between 2021 and 2024. However, in January 2023, Minister O’Gorman indicated that ending the direct provision system by 2024 would be very difficult to achieve in the contemporary context given the impact of the Ukraine conflict.
In a written answer to a parliamentary question on 14 November 2023, Minister O’Gorman advised that the underlying assumptions of the White Paper had to be re-examined as it was originally based on 3,500 new arrivals per year. He anticipated that an ongoing review of the White Paper would be completed in the coming weeks. In the written answer, Minister O’Gorman also provided an update on progress to date in fulfilling commitments made in the White Paper under the three core main strands: accommodation, integration and supports (available here).

Table 6: White Paper Proposed Accommodation Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White Paper Proposed Accommodation Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1:</strong> 4 months Reception and Integration Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 2:</strong> Dispersed Model, 4 Strands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Phase 1:** 4 months Accommodation Reception and Integration Centre (0 to 4 months)
  Department and agencies will coordinate services and information in the reception centres. Applicants will be offered an intensive orientation and English language programme similar to that currently offered to programme refugees.

- **Phase 2:** After the 4 months in the Reception and Integration Centre until Decision is Made
  Coordination of services at local level will be overseen by an inter-agency working group chaired by the local authority.
  Phase 2 accommodation will be provided in one of the following strands.

- Accommodation provided either by approved housing bodies or by NGOs (those deemed vulnerable would in particular be supported through these options).
- Urban renewal schemes (particularly focused on single people).
- Hosting in the community, including rent a room schemes (particularly focused on single people).
- Private tenancies (particularly focused on families).

76 The Table was published on the website of the Department of Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth on 2 February 2023; see here, last accessed 6 December 2023.
Programme Refugees

The term “programme refugee” is used when referring to a refugee who is resettled in a third country under a refugee resettlement programme. Resettlement is “the voluntary transfer of refugees from the country where they are registered to another country that agrees to admit them as refugees and grant them a residence permit”. Resettlement is not a legal right but may be available to refugees who have a continued need for international protection because their fundamental human rights are at risk in their country of refuge. The Government established a refugee resettlement programme, the Irish Refugee Protection Programme (IRPP), in September 2015. Even prior to this, for several years Ireland had been admitting refugees under resettlement programmes, as apparent from the Table below.

Table 7: Resettled Refugees Admitted to Ireland 2000-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Resettlement (ex Malta)</th>
<th>General Resettlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmese-Karen</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmese-Rohingya</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chechen</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congolese</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuban</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.R. Congolese</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egyptian</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eremit (ex Malta)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrean (ex Eritrea)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian (ex Malagasy)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmese-Kurd</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraqi</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Resettlement (ex Malta)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Resettlement Total</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Resettlement Total</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total all refugees</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative total</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Irish Refugee Protection Programme (IRPP)

The IRPP was established in September 2015 in response to the humanitarian crisis that developed in the Mediterranean and Southern Europe as a result of mass migration triggered by armed conflicts in the Middle East and Africa. Under the new Programme for Government, responsibility for the IRPP transferred from the Department of Justice to DCEDIY in 2020. Under the IRPP, the Government initially undertook to accept 4,000 refugees from Syria, Eritrea, The Bahamas, Bahrain, Bhutan, Qatar, United Arab Emirates and Yemen, including:

- 2,622 asylum seekers (relocated from either Greece or Italy) under the EU Relocation Programme established by EU Council Decisions EU/2015/1523 and EU/2015/1601;
- 1,040 programme refugees registered with UNHCR in Lebanon and Jordan under a refugee resettlement programme led by UNHCR;
- up to 200 unaccompanied minors from an unofficial camp in Calais, France; and
- up to 530 immediate family members of refugees from established conflict zones, under a Family Reunification Humanitarian Admission Programme (FRHAP).

There transpired to be a shortfall of available persons for the EU Relocation programme, which ultimately terminated on 26 September 2017. The Government undertook to fill the shortfall by increasing the number of individuals accepted under the UNHCR-led refugee resettlement programme and the FRHAP. A Department of Justice press release on 17 December 2019 indicated that 3,151 persons had already arrived in Ireland under the IRPP entailing:

- 1,022 refugees relocated to Ireland under the EU Relocation programme;
- 1,858 individuals resettled to Ireland under the UNHCR-led refugee resettlement programme (with 55 more expected by the end of 2019);
- 159 family members under the FRHAP; and
- 112 refugees under other mechanisms (Search and Rescue Missions, Unaccompanied Minors from Greece, and the Calais Special Project).

A Community Sponsorship Ireland programme has also been operational since 2018. Under the pilot scheme, five refugee families (17 persons in total) were welcomed into host community
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groups in counties Cork, Waterford and Meath.\textsuperscript{85} Two additional families were welcomed into host communities in Kildare and Dublin in December 2019.\textsuperscript{86} Under the scheme, host communities offer supports to refugees, including by helping them to access housing and state services.\textsuperscript{87} UNHCR selects the refugees who participate in the programme and they are also subjected to a vetting process under the auspices of the IRPP.\textsuperscript{88}

On 17 December 2019, the Department of Justice announced the beginning of a new phase of the IRPP.\textsuperscript{89} It indicated that an agreement had been reached with UNHCR and the EU under which 2,900 additional refugees would be welcomed into Ireland between 2020 and 2023 through a combination of resettlement and community sponsorship.\textsuperscript{90} It reported that the European Commission was providing €9 million in funding to support the resettlement of 900 refugees between early 2020 and June 2021.\textsuperscript{91} It stated that approximately 1,350 individuals would be granted refuge over the first two years of the programme.\textsuperscript{92} It indicated that this initial group would predominantly be comprised of Syrian refugees based in Jordan and Lebanon as well as a pilot group of 150 Eritrean refugees resident in Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{93}

In contrast to the EU relocation programme, Ireland makes the final decision as regards which refugees are selected for resettlement from Lebanon and Jordan under the UNHCR-led programme.\textsuperscript{94} Applicants undergo an initial screening process by UNHCR, which then provides a list of proposed candidates to Irish officials.\textsuperscript{95} The applicants' backgrounds are reviewed and teams comprised of members of An Garda Síochána and departmental officials travel to Lebanon and Jordan where they hold interviews with applicants and conduct needs assessments and security checks.\textsuperscript{96} They also offer orientation information and medical checks to refugees selected for resettlement.\textsuperscript{97}

According to a departmental official, who was cited in an article published in the \textit{Irish Examiner} on 17 September 2021, rejections are very rare and tend to be due to security reasons or strong indications that cultural differences will pose an insurmountable challenge for the applicant.\textsuperscript{98} Resettled refugees are initially hosted at emergency reception and orientation centres (EROCs) in Ireland, where they are provided with an orientation programme before being rehoused in communities across the country.

The Covid-19 pandemic had a negative impact on the resettlement programme with UNHCR imposing an unprecedented worldwide temporary suspension on resettlement departures on 17
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March 2020. A decision was taken to resume the Irish resettlement programme in November 2020. In a written answer to a parliamentary question on 18 April 2023, Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., indicated that Ireland had received 1,012 refugees under the IRPP between 1 January 2020 and 31 December 2022, including 448 Syrian refugees and 564 refugees from Afghanistan. The Minister added that Ireland had welcomed over 4,100 refugees in total under the IRPP since its commencement. He advised that the influx of Ukrainian refugees in 2022 coupled with the increase in the number of applications received for international protection had posed significant challenges. He indicated that new arrivals under the UNHCR-led programme can only be accommodated once space is freed up in EROCs, which in turn is contingent upon the pace at which local authorities can make housing available for programme refugees exiting such centres.

Afghan Admission Programme

After the Taliban took over control of Afghanistan in August 2021, the Government introduced a targeted immigration programme, which allowed Afghan nationals legally resident in Ireland to apply on behalf of close family members for temporary Irish residence. The beneficiaries of the programme are Afghan nationals whose freedom or safety was at risk and who were resident in Afghanistan or certain neighbouring countries having fled from Afghanistan since August 2021. 500 places were available under the programme, which was closed on 11 March 2022.

In a written answer to a parliamentary question on 14 November 2023, Minister for Justice, Helen McEntee T.D., confirmed that 528 applications had been received for the Afghan Admission Programme in respect of 1,492 potential beneficiaries. She confirmed that the Department of Justice had issued the first approval for an application under the programme on 14 November 2022. She indicated that the Department does not collate data on average processing times and anticipated that the programme would be largely concluded by the end of 2023.

The Afghan Admissions Programme reported that, according to the most up-to-date figures available as of 4 December 2023, 236 positive decisions had been issued in respect of 629 beneficiaries; 40 negative decisions had been issued in respect of 133 beneficiaries; and 69 applications had been deemed ineligible under the Programme’s terms in respect of 186 beneficiaries. An appeal may be lodged by email against a negative decision within 20 working days.

---
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days of the date of the decision letter. The Department of Justice’s website states that “the
Minister’s decision following this appeal process will be final”.

It is unclear exactly how many beneficiaries under the Afghan Admission Programme have
arrived in the country to date. Minister for Justice, Simon Harris T.D., advised on 24 May 2023
that data on the number of beneficiaries who have entered the State or registered is not collected
by the Department of Justice. In February 2023, Minister Harris confirmed that the Department
of Justice is not responsible for relocating beneficiaries. He indicated that, in accordance with the
eligibility criteria for the Programme, applicants must cover the travel costs for beneficiaries and
must be able to show that they can maintain their nominated family members, including by
providing them with accommodation.

In addition to Afghan refugees who enter the State under the Afghan Admissions Programme, in
a written answer to a parliamentary question on 18 April 2023, Minister for Children, Equality,
Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., indicated that 564 refugees had also
been resettled in Ireland from Afghanistan under the IRPP during 2021 and 2022. Furthermore, in
a written answer to a parliamentary question on 10 October 2023, Minister for Justice, Helen
McEntee T.D., confirmed that “476 Type D Long Stay Join Family visas have been issued
to Afghan nationals in 2023 to 9 October. This figure comprises all Type D Join Family visas
issued to Afghan nationals and are not exclusive to those who are beneficiaries of
the Afghan Admission Programme.”

Refugees from Ukraine afforded Temporary Protection in Ireland

In response to the armed conflict in Ukraine, on 4 March 2022, the European Council decided to
The Directive was adopted in the aftermath of the Balkans conflict as a way of providing
immediate help, in the form of collective protection status, to large groups of people forced to flee
their home country due to armed conflict, endemic violence or systematic human rights
violations. Temporary protection is granted for an initial period of 12 months and permission
may be sought for an extension. Beneficiaries are entitled to: a Personal Public Service
Number, access to the labour market, access to accommodation or assistance in obtaining
housing, education for children under 18 years of age, social welfare income supports, and
access to health care services from the HSE.

An Irish Examiner newspaper article published on 23 October 2023 reported that almost 97,000
Ukrainian refugees had arrived in Ireland, of whom over 73,000 had been provided with State
accommodation. The article indicated that the Government was developing a new policy, which
could impact the accommodation and social welfare supports offered to Ukrainian beneficiaries of
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temporary protection. According to the OECD International Migration Division, Ireland was ranked seventh out of 34 developed countries, as of June 2023, in terms of the number of Ukrainian refugees per head of population with 17 refugees per 1,000 individuals. According to UNHCR, 5,840,000 refugees from Ukraine were recorded across Europe as of 31 October 2023.\footnote{UNHCR, ‘Europe Situations: Data and Trends - Arrivals and Displaced Populations - October 2023’ (7 December 2023), available here.}

**Syria Case Study**

By way of a case study, this section discusses the refugee and humanitarian crisis triggered by the armed conflict in Syria. It also highlights some of the actions undertaken by Ireland in response to the crisis. Syria was chosen because it represents “one of the world’s most complex humanitarian and protection emergencies”.\footnote{Ibid., paras 5-12.} The start of the crisis can be traced to 15 March 2011 when protests erupted in Southern Syria in response to the detention and torture of children by security officials.\footnote{For ease of reference, the Note refers to “the” armed conflict. However, in reality multiple armed conflicts (both international and non-international) erupted in Syria since 2012. See: RULAC, ‘Syria’ available here, last accessed 6 December 2023.} Predominantly peaceful pro-democracy protests subsequently spread almost nationwide, which were brutally repressed by pro-Government forces.\footnote{UN General Assembly (UNGA), ‘Report of the independent international commission of inquiry on the Syrian Arab Republic’ (23 November 2011) UN Doc A/HRC/S-17/2/Add.1, para. 27, available here.} The unrest descended into a protracted and devastating armed conflict involving a variety of State and non-State actors, including ISIS.\footnote{Ibid., paras 5-12.} The conflict forced millions of Syrians to flee the country making Syria the country of origin for the most refugees in the world (as of mid-2023).\footnote{UNHCR, ‘UNHCR, ‘Mid-Year Trends 2023’ (2023), p. 2, available here, last accessed 23 November 2023.}

### Syrian Refugee Crisis

According to UNHCR, there are approximately 6.5 million registered Syrian refugees worldwide.\footnote{Ibid. Registered refugees are refugees who are registered with UNHCR or local authorities.} The vast majority of these refugees (approximately 5.18 million as of 31 October 2023) are hosted in nearby States,\footnote{UNHCR Cyprus, ‘Syria Refugee Crisis – Globally, in Europe and in Cyprus’ (18 March 2021), see here, last accessed 6 December 2023.} whilst over a million are hosted in Europe, including 560,000 in Germany.\footnote{UNHCR, ‘Operational Data Portal: Syria Regional Refugee Response’ (updated as of 31 October 2023) available here, last accessed 27 November 2023.} Some neighbouring States, including Turkey, Lebanon and Jordan, initially operated an open-door policy for Syrian refugees. However, by mid-2016, NGOs such as the Danish Refugee Council were expressing concerns that few legal routes remained for refugees to exit Syria as borders were increasingly closed.

The armed conflict in Syria contributed to a humanitarian crisis in the Eastern Mediterranean as thousands of Syrian refugees relied upon smugglers to undertake the dangerous sea crossing from Turkey to Greece. The EU and Turkey reached an Agreement on 18 March 2016, which
resulted in a dramatic reduction in the number of Syrian refugees arriving in Greece.\textsuperscript{125} However, the Agreement was widely criticised by human rights organisations, including Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International.\textsuperscript{126} They argued that Turkey is not a safe country for Syrian refugees, including because they are at risk of being involuntarily returned from Turkey to Syria contrary to the \textit{non-refoulement} principle.\textsuperscript{127}

Indeed, the figures included on UNHCR’s operational data portal demonstrate that the number of Syrian refugees in Turkey, Lebanon and the greater Middle East North Africa (MENA) region is declining. For example, there were 3,570,234 registered Syrian refugees in Turkey as of 1 December 2022, as compared with 3,274,059 as of 5 October 2023.\textsuperscript{128} There were 814,715 Syria refugees in Lebanon as of 31 December 2022, as compared with 789,842 as of 30 September 2023.\textsuperscript{129} Cumulatively, there were 5,456,945 registered Syrian refugees in the MENA region as of 28 February 2023, as compared with 5,186,884 as of 30 November 2023.\textsuperscript{130}

Table 8: Syria Regional Refugee Response – MENA region\textsuperscript{131}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Date</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Population of Syrian Refugees in Region</th>
<th>Total Number of Syrian registered refugees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Government of Turkey</td>
<td>5 October 2023</td>
<td>63.1 per cent</td>
<td>3,274,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>30 September 2023</td>
<td>15.2 per cent</td>
<td>789,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>31 October 2023</td>
<td>12.6 per cent</td>
<td>652,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>31 October 2023</td>
<td>5.2 per cent</td>
<td>272,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>30 November 2023</td>
<td>2.9 per cent</td>
<td>152,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (North Africa)</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>31 Dec 2022</td>
<td>0.9 per cent</td>
<td>45,003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Together, Turkey, Lebanon and Jordan currently host over 4.7 million Syrian refugees.\textsuperscript{132} This has not been without its challenges. As documented in an \textit{Irish Times} article published on 4 July 2019, “[e]conomic crises in all three [countries], plus chronic shortfalls in donor funding for the refugees, has intensified resentment among the citizens of these countries and increases
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pressure on Syrians to leave”. During January and February 2023, UNHCR conducted a survey of Syrian refugees living in Lebanon, Jordan, Egypt and Iraq. The results suggest that whilst the majority of refugees surveyed would like to return to Syria at some point in the future, they did not wish to do so within the next 12 months due in large part to safety and security related concerns.

Turkey’s Ministry of Interior alleges that any Syrians who return to Syria from Turkey do so voluntarily. However, human rights NGOs and journalists have raised concerns regarding alleged forcible returns of Syrian refugees from both Turkey and Lebanon. During the EU’s Seventh Brussels Conference on ‘Supporting the future of Syria and the region’, which was held on 14-15 June 2023, it was agreed that: “The conditions for the repatriation of Syrian refugees, as defined by UNHCR, are currently not fulfilled.”

The conditions for the repatriation of Syrian refugees, as defined by UNHCR, are currently not fulfilled. We will not support organised returns to Syria unless there are credible guarantees that those returns are voluntary and monitored by the international community.” [EU’s Seventh Brussels Conference on ‘Supporting the future of Syria and the region’ (June 2023) see here].

The Humanitarian Crisis inside Syria

As observed by UN Secretary General, Antonio Guterres, for over 12 years “Syrians have been subjected to human rights violations on a massive and systematic scale”. There are estimated to have been over 606,000 conflict-related fatalities in Syria since March 2011 and approximately 25 per cent of the population are believed to have a disability. Of a total population of approximately 22.2 million, 15.3 million Syrians require humanitarian assistance and 6.7 million are internally displaced.

---
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Control of Syrian territory is divided between various opposing forces. This poses significant challenges for humanitarian actors who must negotiate access across multiple frontlines in an insecure and dangerous environment.

**Ireland’s Response to the Syrian Crisis**

Ireland has taken a number of actions in response to the Syrian crisis, including the provision of humanitarian assistance, the adoption of a leadership role concerning cross-border humanitarian access to Syria as a non-permanent member of the UN Security Council, and the resettlement of Syrian refugees in Ireland under the IRPP.

**Humanitarian support for those in need inside Syria**

On 16 June 2023, the Government pledged to provide €27 million in humanitarian support to the Syrian people during 2023. This brings Ireland’s overall contribution since 2012 to the humanitarian response both inside Syria and in MENA countries hosting Syrian refugees to €245 million.142

In its role as a non-permanent member of the UN Security Council between 2021 and 2022, Ireland was joint co-penholder, along with Norway, for the Syrian humanitarian access file. This means that Ireland and Norway were the primary drafters of important UN Security Council resolutions, which authorised UN humanitarian agencies and their implementing partners to continue delivering aid to Syria via the Bab al-Hawa crossing on the Turkish-Syrian border. The crossing has been described by humanitarian actors such as Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) as a vital “lifeline” for Northwest Syria.143 In order to underline the importance of the border-crossing, in June 2022 Minister for Foreign Affairs, Simon Coveney T.D., undertook a joint visit with his Norwegian counterpart, Anniken Huitfeldt, to the UN’s aid operation at Bab al-Hawa in Turkey. The Department of Foreign Affairs reported that the Syria file was amongst Ireland’s most important initiatives during its 2021-2022 membership term.144

On 11 July 2023, the UN Security Council failed to adopt a resolution authorising the continuing delivery of cross-border aid via the Bab al-Hawa border crossing. The failure was criticised by humanitarian NGOs, including MSF, who had previously warned that failure to renew the resolution “would have disastrous consequences on the physical and mental health of people” in Northwest Syria. An agreement was subsequently reached between the Syrian Government and the UN, which allowed cross-border aid deliveries to resume via the Bab al-Hawa crossing for six months starting on 19 September 2023.145

---

Syrians relocated to Ireland under the IRPP

According to a Government-commissioned report prepared by the International Organization for Migration Ireland (IOM Ireland), by 2021, 2,108 Syrian refugees had been brought to Ireland from Lebanon and Jordan under the IRPP.\(^{146}\) In addition, figures provided on 18 April 2023 by Minister for Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth, Roderic O’Gorman, T.D., indicate that 253 Syrian refugees were relocated to Ireland under the IRPP between 1 January 2021 and 31 December 2022.

As part of their research, IOM Ireland conducted interviews with 153 resettled Syrians with a view to uncovering the extent of their integration into Irish society.\(^{147}\) The interviews revealed “that integration was particularly strong in respect of refugees security of immigration status, sense of belonging, feelings of safety, housing security, and children’s’ experiences in education”.\(^{148}\) In terms of challenges, mental health was identified as a “key concern”, and a link was identified between healthcare quality and the availability of interpretation services.\(^{149}\)

Respondents indicated that a lack of fluency in English, a lack of digital literacy and/or a lack of access to a computer or laptop negatively impacted their ability to access information and employment.\(^{150}\) The cost of childcare and the absence of extended family posed additional obstacles for women seeking employment.\(^{151}\) The report recorded a small number of incidences of persistent racial harassment; however, overall, respondents expressed “a strong sense of belonging”.\(^{152}\)
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