[Date month year]

[Dr A. B. Consultant Address 1 Address 2 Address 3 Address 4 Address 5]

Reference: CSP ID [nnnnnnn]

Re: Ms [Name] [Surname] DoB: [DOB] – Colposcopy Ref: [Annnnnn]

Dear Doctor

We were sorry to be informed of the diagnosis of invasive cervical cancer in Ms [Surname].

Cases of invasive cervical cancer that are notified to CervicalCheck are categorised as part of its cancer audit process, which aims to identify areas of potential quality improvement in the screening programme.

Based upon the cervical screening history of Ms [Surname] prior to her diagnosis, a review of cytology was conducted. The review is now complete and the outcome(s) are attached, with some relevant notes on the nature of cytology reviews.

Please ensure that this correspondence is added to Ms [Surname]'s medical record.

Where there is a difference in interpretation between the original cytology report and the final review opinion, please consult with the original reporting pathologist(s) to obtain the complete details, to assess the relevance with respect to the subsequent diagnosis and to identify any potential occurrence of avoidable harm.

If open disclosure is indicated in this case, please follow the local hospital guidelines.

If we can be of further assistance please direct any queries, quoting the CSP ID above, to: CervicalCheck, Cancer Audit, PO Box 161, Limerick.

Yours sincerely

Dr Grainne Flannelly Clinical Director

Encl.: Information for health professionals about the cancer audit outcome

Copy: Mr John Gleeson, Programme Manager

CNxxx

Comment [JG1]: Do not include if case is 'historic' i.e. a numbers of years since diagnosis.

Comment [JG2]: Do not include if the woman is known to have passed away.

1 of 2

Cancer audit process



Case review

| Name: | Ms [Name] [Surname] |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Date of birth: | [DOB] |
| Hospital ref: | [NNNNNN] |

Identifier: Date of diagnosis: Review: CSP ID nnnnnn DD/MMM/YYYY Cytology prior to diagnosis

| Smear te | est date | Original report | Final review opinion |
|----------|----------|--|--|
| NN/MM | I/YYYY | NAD (no abnormality detected) | / lesion) |
| | | Recommendation: Routine screening | |
| NN/MMN | 1/YYYY | LSIL (Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion) | HSIL (High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion) |
| | | Recommendation: Repeat smear test in 6 months | |

The original reporting laboratory participated in the cytology review and is aware of the outcomes. Please note that the final review opinion may follow review of the slide(s) by multiple laboratories whose opinions may differ.

Factors that should be borne in mind when considering these review outcomes include:

- the subjective nature of cytology interpretation
- the limitation of low sensitivity of cytology
- possible review bias ('hindsight bias' as the reason for review is known)
- reviews are not carried out under 'normal' screening conditions.

The consultant pathologist for the original reporting laboratory should be consulted for each specific case.