



[Click here for
Explanatory Memorandum](#)

**AN BILLE IOMAÍOCHTA (LEASÚ) 2007
COMPETITION (AMENDMENT) BILL 2007**

*Mar a tionscnaíodh
As initiated*

ARRANGEMENT OF SECTIONS

Section

1. Interpretation.
 2. Application of section 4 of Competition Act 2002 to certain trade union members.
 3. Application of section 4 of Competition Act 2002 to certain collective agreements relating to services provided out of public funds.
 4. Short title and collective citation and construction.
-

ACTS REFERRED TO

Competition Act 2002	2002, No. 14
Freedom of Information Act 1997	1997, No. 13
National Economic and Social Development Office Act 2006	2006, No. 21
Trade Union Act 1941	1941, No. 22



AN BILLE IOMAÍOCHTA (LEASÚ) 2007
COMPETITION (AMENDMENT) BILL 2007

BILL

entitled

5 AN ACT TO DELIMIT THE APPLICATION OF THE COMPE-
TITION ACT 2002 TO TRADE UNIONS AND TRADE
UNION MEMBERS AND TO CERTAIN AGREEMENTS
NEGOTIATED WITH PUBLIC BODIES, AND TO
PROVIDE FOR RELATED MATTERS.

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE OIREACHTAS AS FOLLOWS:

1.—In this Act, “public body” has the meaning assigned by section Interpretation.
2 of the Freedom of Information Act 1997.

2.—(1) Where an individual engages for gain under a contract Application of
with an undertaking personally to do any work or provide any section 4 of
15 services (whether work or services of a manual, clerical, professional Competition Act
or vocational nature or otherwise and whether for the undertaking 2002 to certain
that is party to the contract or for other persons)— trade union
members.

(a) a trade union of which that individual and other individ-
20 uals so engaged are members is deemed not to be an
association of undertakings for the purposes of section 4
of the Competition Act 2002, and

(b) the said section 4 does not apply to any agreement,
25 decision or concerted practice affecting the terms or con-
ditions under which such work is done or services are
provided by two or more such individuals under similar
contracts—

(i) with the same undertaking, or

(ii) with two or more different undertakings, that are
30 members of an organisation that is (or is part of an
organisation that is) a social partner for the purposes
of the National Economic and Social Development
Office Act 2006.

(2) Nothing in *subsection (1)* prevents the application of the said
35 section 4 to agreements, decisions or concerted practices affecting
the terms or conditions under which work is done for or services are
provided to persons contracting outside the course of their business.

(3) In *subsection (1)*—

(a) “trade union” means a trade union which is the holder of a negotiation licence under Part II of the Trade Union Act 1941,

(b) “undertaking” includes any public body. 5

Application of section 4 of Competition Act 2002 to certain collective agreements relating to services provided out of public funds.

3.—(1) This section applies to a scheme, whether under an enactment or otherwise, for payments out of public funds by a public body to members of a profession in consideration for the provision of services to members of the public or to a class of members of the public. 10

(2) Where the Government makes a declaration under this subsection that there is, in relation to a scheme to which this section applies, a public interest in negotiating a collective agreement between the public body concerned and an organisation that is representative of the profession concerned, providing for the terms and conditions under which the services are to be provided— 15

(a) the representative organisation concerned is deemed not to be an association of undertakings, for the purposes of section 4 of the Competition Act 2002, and

(b) the said section 4 does not apply to the collective agreement. 20

(3) Nothing in *subsection (2)* prevents the application of section 4 to agreements, decisions or concerted practices affecting the terms or conditions under which services are provided otherwise than under and for the purposes of the collective agreement concerned. 25

(4) A declaration made by the Government under *subsection (2)*—

(a) does not have effect until it is approved by resolutions passed by each House of the Oireachtas,

(b) applies to negotiations for amendments to or replacements of a collective agreement, 30

(c) continues in effect until it is annulled by resolution passed by either House of the Oireachtas.

(5) (a) In this section, “profession” includes trade, vocation and other occupation. 35

(b) For the purposes of this section, it is immaterial whether members of a profession provide services as sole traders or as members or employees of partnerships or corporate bodies.

Short title and collective citation and construction.

4.—(1) This Act may be cited as the Competition (Amendment) Act 2007. 40

(2) This Act and the Competition Act 2002 may be cited together as the Competition Acts 2002 and 2007 and shall be construed together as one Act.



[Click here for Bill](#)

**AN BILLE IOMAÍOCHTA (LEASÚ) 2007
COMPETITION (AMENDMENT) BILL 2007**

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

Purpose of the Bill

If the Competition Act 2002 applied with full force and effect to trade unions and their members, then trade unions would revert to their old common law status as unlawful “combinations” and trade union leaders would be prosecuted as parties to a criminal conspiracy.

The purpose of the Competition Act is not only to encourage competition between entities but to make such competition mandatory. Any agreement or concerted practice that has the object or effect of distorting competition is null and void, a civil wrong and also a criminal act. This would include any agreement as to terms and conditions at which work or services are to be provided.

However, both statute law (since 1871), the Constitution and international human rights conventions recognise the right to form trade unions. And collective bargaining by trade unions on behalf of their members is actively encouraged as a bedrock of social partnership.

The issue for legislators is that trade union activity is at its heart an anti-competitive activity. Workers do not underbid each other to compete for jobs. Instead, they organise and bargain collectively so as to obtain the best outcome for all their members.

At present, the litmus test for exemption from the Competition Act is whether an individual is an employee or is self-employed. But atypical employment, involving those who are not obviously employed or self-employed, is a growing phenomenon. This is partly due to a desire on both sides to re-classify employees as self-employed. There are differences in taxation of expenses, in PRSI and in pension obligations. Most employment protection legislation applies only to employees. In addition, different health and safety rules may apply. The employer’s vicarious liability (and, therefore, his insurance premiums) will also be different.

A variety of tests is applied in order to decide a person’s employment status. But the basic question is whether the person engaged to perform services is performing them as a person “in business on his own account”. For Competition Act purposes, the test is whether an individual is what the Act refers to as an “undertaking”, defined

as a person “engaged for gain” in the production, supply or distribution of goods or the provision of a service. If he or she is engaged for gain, as opposed to being paid a wage, then the Competition Act applies and collective agreements are prohibited.

The danger is twofold. On the one hand, workers will find themselves exposed to the increasingly prevalent demands of employers that their work should be reclassified as self-employed or contracted, in order to escape the provisions of the State’s employment protection laws.

On the other hand, once workers except the assurances of their employers, and any incentivisation package on offer, and agree to reclassify themselves as self-employed, then any action on their part to secure enforcement of the terms under which they changed their status will be challenged as an anti-competitive conspiracy.

This issue, and the associated changes in workplace practices that give rise to greater numbers engaged in atypical employment, will have a substantial impact on industrial relations and social partnership.

It seems clear that, as matters now stand, self-employed individuals are entitled to trade union membership. However, that does not mean that such individuals are entitled to negotiate collectively or that the union can engage in any representative activity on their behalf.

The issue was highlighted in a case where the Competition Authority decided that competition law applies to Equity, a section within SIPTU for actors and others in the entertainment industry. Traditionally, artists, actors and other self-employed individuals have acted collectively to reach agreements with powerful organised groups such as broadcasters and advertisers. However, from a competition law point of view, where entertainment trade unions enter into agreements recommending minimum prices for the hiring of services of their members, this is no more than a price fixing agreement to which the competition legislation applies.

This was the outcome of the Competition Authority’s investigation. The Authority held that any immunity from the rules of competition that a trade union enjoyed could only apply where the union was acting on behalf of employees. In this case Equity was acting more as a trade association on behalf of independent contractors as opposed to employees. The individual actors were “undertakings” and Equity was “an association of undertakings” when it acted on their behalf. Therefore, its agreements with commercial buyers fell within the Competition Act.

The net result is that, although employees can act collectively to fix terms and conditions of employment, a collection of self-employed persons who sought to negotiate collectively would find themselves parties to an unlawful conspiracy between separate economic “undertakings” attempting to distort trade in the services they supply.

The implications of the case are important for all those in atypical employment, who find themselves under pressure to re-organise their work as self-employed contractors. Not only will they lose the benefit of much of employment protection legislation but they may well be prevented from organising collectively to better their terms and conditions of service.

This state of affairs is anomalous and unfair. The very same grounds that justified trade unions receiving recognition and immunity for employees over a century ago are still relevant and available to justify organising and collective bargaining by self-employed persons. Individually, they are weak, whereas united there is some rectification of the institutionalised inequality of bargaining power between the two parties.

The reality is that collective negotiation on behalf of trade associations of self-employed individuals is very much a standard feature of industrial relations practice. It is also a standard feature of the procurement of professional services by the Government, for health and other public welfare programmes.

The purpose of this Bill is two-fold. First, it would enable trade unions to organise and to negotiate collectively on behalf of individuals who enter into or work under contracts “personally to do or provide any work or services” — the emphasis being on the word “personally”.

It would follow that such individuals should not be classed as “undertakings” for the purposes of competition law. However, self-employed individuals would continue to be prohibited from price fixing against consumer interests.

Second, the Bill allows for collective negotiation and bargaining in relation to the terms and conditions of a scheme whereby services are provided to the public by members of a trade, profession or vocation and paid for out of public funds.

Provisions of Bill

Section 1 is an interpretation section. It states that “public body” has the same meaning as in the Freedom of Information Act 1997.

Section 2 sets out rules that delimit the application of section 4 of the Competition Act 2002, which is the section prohibiting anti-competitive agreements, decisions and concerted practices. The section provides that, where an individual engages for gain under a contract with an undertaking “personally to do any work or provide any services”, then—

- a trade union of which that individual and other individuals so engaged are members is not an association of undertakings, and
- section 4 does not apply to any agreement, decision or concerted practice affecting the terms or conditions under which such work or services is or are done or provided by two or more such individuals under similar contracts with the same undertaking or with members of an association that participates in social partnership agreements.

It is made clear that the exemption from the application of section 4 of the Competition Act only applies to contracts with undertakings, not with consumers. This point is reinforced by *subsection (2)*, which states that nothing in *subsection (1)* prevents the application of section 4 to agreements, decisions or concerted practices affecting the terms or conditions under which work is done for or services are provided to persons contracting outside the course of their business.

Section 3 is stated to apply to a scheme for payments out of public funds by a public body to members of a profession in consideration

for the provision of services to members of the public or to a class of members of the public.

Where the Government makes a declaration that there is, in relation to such a scheme, a public interest in negotiating a collective agreement between the public body concerned and an organisation that is representative of the profession concerned, providing for the terms and conditions under which the services are to be provided, then that representative organisation is deemed not to be an association of undertakings, for the purposes of section 4 of the Competition Act 2002 and section 4 does not apply to the collective agreement.

It is again stipulated that nothing in the section prevents the application of section 4 to agreements, decisions or concerted practices affecting the terms or conditions under which services are provided otherwise than under and for the purposes of the collective agreement concerned.

A declaration made by the Government—

- does not have effect until it is approved by resolutions passed by each House of the Oireachtas,
- applies to negotiations for amendments to or replacements of a collective agreement,
- continues in effect until it is annulled by resolution passed by either House of the Oireachtas.

It is made clear that, for the purposes of this section, it is immaterial whether members of a profession provide services as sole traders or as members or employees of partnerships or corporate bodies.

Section 4 makes standard provision for the short title and collective citation of the Bill.

*Na Teachtaí Micheál D. Ó hUigín agus Emmet Stagg,
Deireadh Fomhair, 2007.*